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SOME TECHNICAL NOTES

• The survey was launched on the June 25, 2013, and closed 3 weeks later.

• 531 complete answers were received, out of 1356 invites (response rate 39%).

• Here you find answers to most of the closed items.

• Percentage of respondents is used (unless noticed).

• Thanks to the organizers of the Münster Conference and to all participants that devoted time and efforts to answer the survey and contributed to help us to know how we can better serve their needs.

For the EAWOP Executive Committee

Gudela Grote and Salvatore Zappalà
What is your age?

- 18 to 24: 2,1%
- 25 to 34: 54,5%
- 35 to 44: 21,9%
- 45 to 54: 14,2%
- 55 to 64: 5,3%
- 65 or older: 1,7%
- Prefer not to say: 0,4%
EA WOMEN 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:
What is your main professional role?
PROGRAM QUESTIONS
**EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:**
Select the type of session that you attended at least once during the 2013 conference...

![Type of session attended at least once](image_url)
**EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:**
For each type of session you attended, please rate the quality of the contents ...
EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:
What types of sessions would you like to see more or less in the future?

What types of sessions would you like to see more or less in the future?
Rate each type of session using the five point scale with 5=to see much more and 1=to see much less.

Frequencies
**EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:**
How relevant was the general theme of the Conference?

**Frequencies**

- **Very relevant:** 154
- **Somewhat relevant:** 166
- **Neutral:** 102
- **Somewhat irrelevant:** 51
- **Very irrelevant:** 23
Conference Services Questions
EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:
Satisfaction for aspects of the Conference
N = from 465 to 495, for different items

How satisfied are you with the following aspects of the Congress. Please use the five-point scale with 5 being very satisfied and 1 very dissatisfied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Averages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congress Organization in general</td>
<td>4,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onsite registration</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online registration</td>
<td>4,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The social events</td>
<td>4,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff/Student helpers</td>
<td>4,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The participation online certificate</td>
<td>4,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having two Keynotes in parallel</td>
<td>3,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-conference workshop registration</td>
<td>4,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The book of abstracts</td>
<td>4,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The printed program (distributed in Munster)</td>
<td>4,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The review process of abstracts</td>
<td>4,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The catering and coffee-break</td>
<td>4,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Congress venue</td>
<td>3,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congress Office (e.g., replies to email...)</td>
<td>4,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Congress bag</td>
<td>4,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:
Accuracy of information of the Conference website (N= 490)

- **Very accurate**: 48.2%
- **Somewhat accurate**: 39.6%
- **Somewhat inaccurate**: 10.8%
- **Not accurate at all**: 0.2%

Percentages
EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:
Usefulness of the information offered by the Conference website.

Please rate usefulness of the following pages of the website using a five-point scale with 5 being very useful and 1 not useful at all.

- Last minute information (sent also by e-mail in a Newsletter format): 4.13
- Title and presentation of invited speakers (of keynotes, symposia, and practitioner sessions): 4.25
- The conference tool (Conference4Me): 3.90
- The conference agenda (with the abstracts of each contribution to each session): 4.36
- The program overview: 4.43
Feedback and Suggestions
Recommendations about future EAWOP conferences - Selection -

This is a selection of some comments given by participants in relation to future Conferences:

• EAWOP need more sessions on work and mental disorders and disabilities, and how to cope with this at work;
• Increase the number of culture related sessions;
• provide more opportunities for active learning (e.g., workshops, debates) rather than passive learning (sitting and listening to people talking);
• to put more effort on connecting practitioners and academics;
• to select the location with better flight connections;
• conference to be carried out in bigger cities with better conference buildings distribution;
Recommendations about future EAWOP conferences - Selection

• the price could be a little bit more affordable;
• reduction of fees, doctoral students cannot really afford this;
• an early program so that flights and accommodation can be booked well in advance;
• improve the quality of presentation during submissions (assess papers, or extended abstracts not just abstracts);
• make the web-based support for conference attendees much clearer;
• longer lasting poster sessions;
• the number of presentation for one person should be limited to say maybe 2;
• great job, thank you! Please keep going!;
• keep up the great work! Thank you for an excellent conference experience.
**EAWOP 2013 Münster Post Conference Survey:**
All in all, how satisfied are you for having attended the 2013 *Münster* Conference?

**Percentages**

All in all, how satisfied are you for having attended the 2013 Münster Conference?

- Extremely satisfied: 33
- Extremely dissatisfied: 0
Some conclusions - 1

From the analysis of the post-conference survey it can be drawn some positive conclusions.

• number of respondents is similar to the previous edition, confirming the importance given by respondents to the post-conference survey.
• The Conference website was considered very useful and good. Also the accuracy of information of the Conference website is very well evaluated.
• Satisfaction with meeting services is positive. Quite each service had an average score greater than 4. Only the “Two Keynotes in parallel” was not particularly appreciated.
• Respondents stated that they would like to see in the future conferences more Invited Keynotes and Symposia. Other types of sessions shall have to be better advertised and supported, in order to facilitate attendance.
• An increased awareness and participation of academics in the “Practitioner forum” and “Scientific-Practitioner symposia” are additional challenges and something to improve.
• The theme of the EAWOP conference is considered important by the respondents.
• Most of the academics are satisfied with the actual balance between scholarly and practitioners sessions. Practitioner would like to devote some more time to practitioner session.
• the overall satisfaction score with the conference –on scale from 1 to 10 - had a score of 7.84 (sd= 1.47). This score is similar, or slightly increased, in comparison to the previous edition.
• Finally, important comments and suggestions about Munster and about future conferences were given in the open questions.